

Dear John,

I love you! Sorry for the crazy rollercoaster of ideas lately. I am still here; I know the Keys are true, I know that you are called of God, being the only one who understands the keys in clarity and truth, upon the earth. I know that Jesus Christ is our Savior, Lord, and God, and that His grace is sufficient for us! I know I Love Hayah, Jesus, Sarah, you, and all our family! I love you with all my heart! I have been troubled concerning the last chapter of Abraham, as I am sure you are as well.

It all began when I wanted to know where in the world "Adam-god" really came from. I know Brigham didn't just think of it, while sitting in an arm chair in the spring of 1852. Joseph Smith definitely started the ball rolling when he said that spirits have no beginning, coupled with the statement that Michael first had the keys and priesthood before the world. But where did even those ideas come from? - Because they contradict scripture. Right about then I got a bunch of books I ordered, including Joseph Smith's "Egyptian grammar," which came in handy for Abraham, and was a bunch of fun to read. I am not sure why no one has noticed this, but Joseph Smith's Egyptian grammar mentions Adam quite a bit, and they all fall into line with Joseph Smith's 1838-39, statements, such as those above, and even Brigham's Adam-god ideas. One lists the titles of High Priests back to Adam, stating that Adam was the first High Priest in all Eternity, as well as stating many other things about his titles, the first righteous man, who possesses all rights, etc. etc. etc! Then I thought, where did even all this come from?

One of the other books was "An Address to all believers in Christ" by David Whitmer. I didn't actually order this one, a complement from Sandra; but I am glad I got it. If you haven't read it lately you should definitely read it again! I always dismissed that book, thinking that David Whitmer was just a bitter apostate, or something. But, he makes, and proves, incredibly good points! He shows that the original Revelation through the stone telling Joseph to pretend to no other gifts, was final and definite, and that Joseph changed it in the 1834 D+C. Same with the statement that the Book of Mormon contained all things pertaining to "my Church," and that it was similarly changed. Furthermore that Joseph gave back the stone in March 1830, simply because it had become inconvenient; then of course Sidney Rigdon took them all on a ride with all his ideas - ending in an Egyptian spirit claiming to reveal my book. I realized, just as it is a simple thing for me to get a translation by a false spirit, especially a spirit of the ancient wicked civilizations, how, or why wouldn't it, be just as easy for Joseph? I realized that Joseph's Egyptian grammar is the evidence; it informed his ideas about Adam, (you), which culminated in Adam-god; and even sent John Bryant and myself down strange roads, and strange translations. It's the uncomfortable answer, but it's kind of obvious! I don't know why I didn't see it before.

In early 2010, I received my seer stone. I only did one translation with it. "The Writtings of Joseph." No sooner had I done it, but that Leland wanted to change a bunch of wording. I was apprehensive, just as you were when I did it in 2017, having learned my bad example from Leland. My stone didn't work after that, (just as with Joseph), so Leland convinced me to just start writing. I am not accusing, or judging Joseph Smith; I am much worse! I sinned, and went a lot further into delusion than he did. However, remember how God was teaching us to simplify in the beginning? He told us not to use the hand at the square for baptisms, for instance. He commanded me to be a priest, He said Elders were sufficient, He shot down the idea of me passing on my fundamentalist "Second Anointing" etc. Somewhere down the line we let our Mormon traditions start leaking back in. I am not accusing you either, how could we not let them leak in, when, that was the law we received all growing up? I know the keys are true! I know our marriages are true, (another example of something off in the D+C,) I know that the Book of Mormon is true! But even you had a clearer insight in the beginning that we must! - not let in